

DELEGATE FEEDBACK EVALUATION

CL:AIRE Conference - The Story of the Enabling Works at the Olympic Park
One Great George Street, London – 04/11/2010

Relevant to Your Role					
1.	How specific was the conference to your role and your training/development needs?				
	Not at all	Slightly	Partly 16%	Mostly 13%	Very 55%
				Completely 16%	
2.	Did the conference provide or refresh you with ideas, techniques and information?				
	Not at all	Slightly 2%	Partly 16%	Mostly 32%	Very 45%
				Completely 5%	
Overall Comments:					
a. Excellent way of telling the story.			c. Educational.		
b. Very well planned, balanced and presented.			d. Really great to hear about a major urban project from start to finish.		
Content					
3.	How satisfied were you with the speakers/presenters?				
	Not at all	Slightly	Partly 2%	Mostly 32%	Very 55%
				Completely 9%	
4.	Were you satisfied with the content of the presentations?				
	Not at all	Slightly 2%	Partly 5%	Mostly 32%	Very 50%
				Completely 11%	
Overall Comments:					
a. Good presenters but content lacked detail - too much generality.			d. Insufficient technical detail.		
b. The presentations were all of a very high standard.			e. Well experienced people giving the talks.		
c. A section covering chemical analysis, especially the on-site facility and the data management would have been interesting.					
Organisation & Co-ordination					
5.	How would you rate the organisation/co-ordination of this conference (including material & venue choice)?				
	Poor	Disappointing	Acceptable	Good 2%	Very Good 54%
					Excellent 45%
6.	How do you rate the conference facilities?				
	Poor	Disappointing	Acceptable	Good	Very good 50%
					Excellent 50%
Overall Comments:					
a. Very well organised. Fantastic venue.					
b. A very worthwhile conference – well done to all concerned in the preparation and organisation!					
c. Speakers job title and company name was missing from the programme and should have been stated at the beginning of each presentation.					
d. Excellent delegate pack.					
e. Conference of this standing should be made available to international community for benefit of UK PLC as a whole e.g. by webinar.					
f. Liked idea of conference linked to UKTI – encourages industry to look at bigger picture. Pleased there were lots of breaks for networking.					
Recommended developments					
7.	What kinds of subjects would you like to see included at future conferences?				
	a. State of the art / new remediation technologies.		f. Increased focus on economic benefit of sustainable approach.		
	b. How to engage next generation.		g. Destruction of pollutants.		
	c. Landscape solutions to urban land use.		h. Soft landscape habitat reconstruction.		
	d. Issues with planning and localism.				
	e. Contractual management of pilot studies and full scale site remediation treatment.				
8.	How would you rate this conference compared to other conferences of this type that you have attended?				
	Poor	Disappointing	Acceptable 2%	Good 13%	Very good 63%
					Excellent 20%
Quotable quotes					
What would you say about the conference to other people/your colleagues? (CL:AIRE would like to use your quote in future marketing promotions).					
a. If they failed to attend then they missed a highly informative and high-value event on a fantastic remediation story.					
b. Very interesting to learn about how a project of this scale was managed so successfully.					
c. An excellent overview of works on the Olympics.					
d. Excellent range of expert speakers and real life practitioners covering a complex subject extremely well.					
e. For anyone involved in contaminated land remediation in the UK and worldwide, CL:AIRE events are a MUST.					
f. An interesting set of topics on a complex mega Brownfield site.					
g. CL:AIRE is an important body for all associated with con land and is a very proactive body.					
h. I would recommend attending future CL:AIRE events and conferences.					